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1. Introduction


2. Problem statement

Currently, hundreds of biological products, mainly biotechnology derived proteins are being developed for more than a hundred disorders.

At the same time, the knowledge on the assays, risk factors, and the potential consequences of unwanted immune responses, such as loss of efficacy, hypersensitivity, and cross-reactivity with endogenous protein, has accumulated. Considerable progress has been made in the development of better assays for antibodies against biologicals. During assessment of MAAs, CHMP has frequently raised questions related to the assays applied by the Applicants and the data on the clinical correlations of the induced antibodies. In addition, the section on non-clinical studies needs revision, taking account of the need to follow the 3 R principles (replacement, reduction and refinement). Since many risk factors of immunogenicity are known, it may be possible to estimate the risk level of a given product. Such analysis can be used to justify the selected immunogenicity strategy, i.e. the development of a suitable set of assays and the detection and clarification of the clinical significance of the observed anti-drug-antibodies both pre- and post-marketing. Large complex biotechnology-derived proteins and small proteins with a simple structure may require differential approaches to immunogenicity assessment. Comparisons of the immunogenicity of two versions of a product or two independent products (e.g. a biosimilar and its reference product) have certain specific aspects which need discussion. All these factors need to be considered when updating and revising the current guideline.

3. Discussion (on the problem statement)

The requirements of the immunogenicity assays may need to be defined more clearly since the CHMP has frequently had questions concerning the sensitivity of such assays and the use of ligand-binding and cell-based assays to demonstrate neutralizing antibodies. Most marketing authorisation applications lack a clear strategy to approach immunogenicity. Such a strategy should be based on a comprehensive analysis of all data that may be related to the immunogenicity.

The assessment of the immunogenicity risk level is a multifactorial and multidisciplinary exercise. Quality issues, such as impurities, aggregates, xenogeneic structures and leachables, need to be assessed. The dose, the frequency, duration and route of administration, the underlying disease as well as the concomitant medication may modify the risk of immunogenicity.

The knowledge on the immunogenicity of the reference product may help to estimate the level of tolerance towards a particular protein. However, this needs care as the immunogenicity of the proposed biosimilar product may not be similar to the reference product. This has to be demonstrated
as part of the comparability assessment. The regulatory consequences of a different degree of immunogenicity, both increased and decreased, need to be considered.

Risk analysis might be used to estimate the extent of the immunogenicity studies as well as the length of the follow up pre- and post-licensing. Comparative immunogenicity studies may require more guidance on the assays and on the criteria for possible immune-related adverse effect.

4. Recommendation

The BMWP recommends revising and updating the Guideline on Immunogenicity Assessment of Biotechnology-derived Therapeutic Proteins, CHMP/BMWP/42832/2005. The following topics should be addressed:

- More specific guidance for the presentation of immunogenicity data
- Requirements of data on antibody assays
- Role of in vitro and in vivo non-clinical studies
- Risk-based approach to immunogenicity
- Clinical data to study the correlations of the induced antibodies to allergic and anaphylactic/anaphylactoid reactions, delayed immunological reactions, pharmacokinetics, lack of efficacy
- Comparative immunogenicity studies
- Post-licensing immunological studies

5. Proposed timetable

Release for external consultation: 15 March 2014
Deadline for external comments: 30 June 2014
It is anticipated that the draft revised guideline will be released for consultation in 2014Q4.

6. Resource requirements for preparation

The BMWP experts will develop the revision of the guideline. At least one formal meeting of the drafting group will be required in the margins of the working party meetings.

7. Impact assessment (anticipated)

Anticipated benefit for industry (potentially reduced and/or specified requirements) and assessors of biological products. The revision is not aimed to increase the number of studies on immunogenicity. Instead, the aim is to increase the quality of studies and their clarity to the assessors.

8. Interested parties

Immunology/clinical immunology experts of the pharmaceutical industry and academia as well as CHMP and its working parties, especially SAWP and RIWP
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